

Bridging the Data Gap: Leveraging AI and Agricultural Extension Systems to Transform Farming in Africa

EMMANUEL OMOKHOMION

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STATISTICS, ABUJA, NIGERIA – hemanwel@gmail.com

Abstract

The time has come for African agriculture to fully embrace modern technology, particularly artificial intelligence. But this raises an important question: where exactly do technology and agriculture meet? The answer lies in understanding shifts in climate patterns and their impact on farming practices.

In many African countries, governments already work with farmers through agricultural extension officers, who are trusted intermediaries that distribute improved seeds, facilitate loan programs, and deliver other agricultural interventions. These same extension officers could become bridges to help farmers adopt AI tools. However, there's a fundamental challenge we must address first: data.

AI and machine learning thrive on data, large amounts of it, spanning years of trends and patterns. Unfortunately, across much of Africa, this data either doesn't exist or lacks the consistency needed for effective AI applications. Once we close this data gap, we can build AI tools that genuinely simplify farming practices.

What kind of agricultural data are we talking about? Consider seasonal data on crops cultivated (whether selected varieties or comprehensive coverage), land area under cultivation, harvest yields, areas harvested, crop diseases and pest infestations, soil quality indicators, fertilizer application rates, and water availability. On the climate side, we need rainfall patterns, temperature records, humidity levels, wind speed data, and extreme weather events. Market data, including seed prices, input costs, and demand trends, could also be of use.

When we bring all this information together, farmers gain something powerful: the ability to predict. They can determine whether expected rainfall will adequately support their chosen crops, whether irrigation systems are necessary, or whether flood-resistant crop varieties make more sense. By analyzing historical patterns alongside current conditions, they can estimate expected yields with greater accuracy.

But the benefits extend far beyond individual farms. This data becomes a valuable resource for national governments and researchers worldwide. Consistent data collection enables deeper analysis, reveals broader patterns, and informs policy decisions. Most importantly, it creates the foundation upon which machine learning and AI can genuinely transform agricultural practice across Africa.

The path forward is clear: establish robust data collection systems, leverage existing agricultural extension networks, and build AI tools tailored to African farming contexts. Only then can we unlock the full potential of smart agriculture to address climate challenges and improve food security across the continent.

Introduction

Agriculture remains the backbone of many African economies, employing a significant percentage of the continent's workforce and contributing substantially to GDP in countries like Ethiopia, Mali, and Malawi. In Africa, agriculture employs not less than 65% of the total labor force while accounting for about one-third of the gross domestic product (Fatty et al., 2014).

The author declares that this manuscript is free of any copyright infringement and that all sources have been properly cited.

Yet African farmers face unprecedented challenges from climate change, including unpredictable rainfall patterns, prolonged droughts, and unexpected flooding. These challenges demand more than traditional farming wisdom. They require precise, data-driven decision making that only modern technology can provide.

Artificial intelligence has revolutionized agriculture in developed nations. Farmers in the United States and Europe use AI-powered systems to optimize irrigation, predict pest outbreaks, and maximize yields. Meanwhile, African farmers, who arguably face more severe climate challenges, largely lack access to these tools. The gap isn't due to a lack of innovation or capability. Rather, it stems from a more fundamental problem: the absence of reliable, consistent agricultural data. Christiaensen and Demery (2018) note that rural African households derive about two-thirds of their income from on-farm agriculture, compared with one-third on average in other developing countries, making the stakes for agricultural improvement particularly high.

This disparity becomes even more concerning when we consider that climate change impacts economies largely based on rain-fed agriculture. As Gebbers and Adamchuk (2010) observe, crop adaptation to climate change requires rigorous research and a multifaceted technological approach that will be much harder to practice on a continent where agricultural science is in its infancy and the culture of looking to science for solutions to local problems is not well established.

This paper argues that Africa's existing agricultural extension systems, far from being outdated relics, represent untapped potential for AI integration. By empowering extension officers with digital tools and establishing robust data collection protocols, African nations can build the foundation needed for AI-driven agricultural transformation.

The Current State of Agricultural Extension Systems in Africa

Agricultural extension services have operated across Africa for decades. In Nigeria, the Agricultural Development Programme employs thousands of extension agents who work directly with smallholder farmers. Kenya's agricultural extension system reaches millions of farmers through both government and private sector initiatives. These officers serve as crucial intermediaries between government agricultural policies and farming communities.

However, extension intervention needs to go beyond the shorter-term offering of technical assistance relating to farming. As Fatty et al. (2014) argue, services should be contextualized within a broader livelihood framework in which farmers function to produce more sustainable change. Agricultural extension, through building farmer capacity to manage their farming enterprises, manage their social and environmental sustainability contexts, and deliberately engage in scientific enquiry, can profoundly help realize sustained enhanced food security and enriched livelihoods for smallholder farmers.

Extension officers typically perform several key functions. They distribute improved seed varieties and demonstrate proper planting techniques. They provide information about pest control and soil management. They facilitate access to credit and government support programs. Most importantly, they maintain regular contact with farming communities, building trust relationships that span years or even decades. In this evolving landscape, extension effectively serves as a facilitator or knowledge broker, a transition that has implications for the technical, professional, and entrepreneurial skills that extension agents will need to be effective in this new role (Swanson & Rajalahti, 2010).

However, traditional extension systems face significant challenges. The ratio of extension officers to farmers remains inadequate in most countries. In some regions, a single extension officer may be responsible for 1,000 or more farming households. Resource constraints limit the frequency of farm visits and the quality of services provided. Information flow is often one-directional, moving from government to farmer without systematic feedback mechanisms. As Rivera et al. (2001, cited in Aker, 2011) found in a worldwide review of public extension systems, many agricultural extension systems were barely functioning, related to factors including low motivation and accountability of extension field staff.

Despite these limitations, extension systems possess inherent strengths that make them ideal platforms for technology integration. Extension officers already have established relationships with farming communities. They understand local contexts, speak local languages, and command respect within their communities. They are positioned at the critical interface between policy and practice, between innovation and implementation. As agriculture systems become more complex, farmers' access to a reliable, timely, and relevant information source is critical to their competitiveness (Babu et al., 2012).

Understanding the Data Gap

Data forms the foundation of any AI or machine learning system. These technologies learn from patterns in historical data to make predictions about future events. In agriculture, this means analyzing years of information about weather patterns, crop performance, pest outbreaks, and market prices to provide actionable insights to farmers.

The quality and quantity of agricultural data in Africa varies dramatically by country and region. South Africa maintains relatively comprehensive agricultural statistics through its national statistics agency. Countries like Rwanda have made significant investments in digital infrastructure and data collection. However, many nations struggle with incomplete, inconsistent, or entirely absent agricultural data. The consequences are significant, as poor agricultural data can lead to misallocation of scarce resources and policy formulations that fail to resolve critical development problems (Kelly et al., 1995, cited in Fermont & Benson, 2011).

Several factors contribute to this data gap. First, many African countries lack the financial resources and technical capacity to implement comprehensive data collection systems. Statistical agencies may be understaffed or equipped with outdated technology. Second, the predominance of smallholder farming makes data collection challenging. Unlike large commercial farms that maintain detailed records, smallholder farmers often lack the literacy, time, or incentive to document their activities systematically. Third, data collection efforts are often fragmented across multiple agencies and organizations without effective coordination or standardization.

The consequences of this data gap extend beyond the inability to deploy AI tools. Without reliable data, governments struggle to design effective agricultural policies. They cannot accurately assess food security situations or predict potential crises. They lack the evidence needed to allocate resources efficiently or evaluate the impact of interventions. Carletto et al. (2015) emphasize the need for a renaissance in agricultural data collection to support better policy formulation across developing nations.

Essential Data Points for AI-Enabled Agriculture

To build effective AI systems for African agriculture, we need comprehensive data across several categories. Each type of data serves specific purposes in enabling predictive analytics and decision support.

Crop Production Data: This includes information about what crops farmers plant each season, the varieties they choose, and the land area under cultivation. We need data on planting dates, growing practices, input applications (seeds, fertilizers, pesticides), and harvest outcomes. Recording crop diseases, pest infestations, and other production challenges helps AI systems identify patterns and predict future occurrences.

Climate and Weather Data: Rainfall measurements are crucial, including total seasonal rainfall, distribution patterns throughout the growing season, and intensity of individual rainfall events. Temperature data should capture daily minimums and maximums, as well as extreme heat events. Additional climate variables like humidity levels, wind speeds, and the occurrence of extreme weather events (floods, droughts) provide context for understanding crop performance. Thornton et al. (2014) note that extreme events may have considerable impacts on sectors that have close links with climate, such as water, agriculture and food security, particularly in countries whose economies depend heavily on such sectors.

Soil and Land Data: Information about soil types, fertility levels, pH, organic matter content, and nutrient availability helps predict which crops will perform well in specific locations. Data on land use patterns, field sizes, topography, and irrigation infrastructure provides essential context for agricultural planning. Understanding soil health is particularly important, as most operations following initial ploughing tend to compact the soil, reduce water infiltration and soil aeration, decrease organic matter content, and increase farming costs (Ligowe et al., 2017).

Market Data: Crop prices at local, regional, and national markets help farmers make informed decisions about what to plant. Input costs (seeds, fertilizers, labor, equipment) affect profitability calculations. Market demand trends can guide production decisions and help prevent oversupply situations.

Water Resources Data: Information about water availability from rainfall, irrigation systems, rivers, and groundwater is essential for agricultural planning. Understanding water stress patterns and seasonal variations helps farmers adapt their practices.

When combined and analyzed through machine learning algorithms, these data points enable powerful predictive capabilities. Farmers can receive forecasts about optimal planting dates based on predicted rainfall patterns. They can get early warnings about potential pest outbreaks based on weather conditions and historical patterns. They can estimate expected yields and plan their labor and storage needs accordingly.

Leveraging Extension Systems for Data Collection

The question becomes: how do we collect this data systematically across millions of smallholder farms? The answer lies in transforming extension officers into data collectors while enhancing their traditional advisory role.

Extension officers already visit farms regularly. They already ask farmers about their practices and challenges. By equipping them with smartphones or tablets running data collection applications, we can digitize this existing workflow without adding substantial new burdens. The officer who currently takes mental notes or scribbles information in a notebook could instead enter data into a standardized digital form.

Several African countries have begun experimenting with this approach. In Ethiopia, the Agricultural Transformation Agency has worked to digitize extension services, providing agents with mobile devices and training. Kenya's digital extension platform connects extension officers, farmers, and agricultural researchers through a shared information system. These initiatives demonstrate both the feasibility and the challenges of digitizing extension services.

The digitalization of agriculture is distinguished by its focus on data and data systems as the key input and output, the lifeblood of innovative agricultural business models that can drive systemic change rather than just one-off, project-level improvements (Tsan et al., 2019). Farmers may access advice and information directly or via agents such as government extension officers, NGO staff, agribusiness agents, financial service provider agents, and lead farmers. In such intermediated models, agents use digital advisory tools and information repositories to deliver support to individual smallholder farmers or farmer groups.

Successful implementation requires careful attention to several factors. Extension officers need appropriate devices that can function in rural areas with limited connectivity. Applications must be designed for ease of use, with intuitive interfaces that don't require extensive technical training. Data entry should be streamlined to minimize the time burden on already overstretched extension staff. Most importantly, extension officers need to understand how data collection benefits them and the farmers they serve, not just distant government officials or researchers.

Incentive structures matter. If data collection is perceived as additional paperwork that distracts from core advisory functions, resistance is inevitable. However, if extension officers receive useful analytics and insights based on the data they collect, if they can use these insights to provide better advice to farmers, and if they receive recognition and support for quality data collection, adoption becomes more likely.

Building AI Tools for African Agricultural Contexts

Once consistent data flows are established, the next challenge is building AI tools that address real needs of African farmers. This requires moving beyond simply adapting solutions designed for large-scale commercial agriculture in developed countries.

African smallholder farmers operate under different constraints and conditions. They typically farm small plots, often less than two hectares. They have limited access to capital and inputs. They may grow multiple crops on the same plot for food security and income diversification. They often lack formal education but possess deep indigenous knowledge about local conditions. Any AI tool designed for this context must account for these realities.

Agricultural big data creates the necessity for large investments in infrastructure for data storage and processing, which need to operate almost in real-time for some applications such as weather forecasting and monitoring for crops' pests and animal diseases. Big data analysis describes a new generation of practices designed so that farmers and related organizations can extract economic value from very large volumes of a wide variety of data by enabling high-velocity capture, discovery, and analysis (Kamilaris et al., 2017).

Although big data analysis has been successful and popular in many domains, it has only recently been applied to agriculture, as stakeholders started to perceive its potential benefits. According to some of the largest agricultural corporations, tailoring advice to farmers based on analyzing big data could increase annual global profits from crops by about \$20 billion (Trendov et al., 2019).

Practical AI applications might include seasonal advisories that integrate weather forecasts with historical patterns to recommend optimal planting dates for specific crops. Pest and disease early warning systems could alert farmers and extension officers when conditions favor threats, allowing preventive action. Yield prediction models could help farmers and policymakers anticipate production outcomes and plan accordingly.

Input optimization tools could recommend fertilizer application rates and timing based on soil conditions, crop requirements, and weather forecasts. Market information systems enhanced with predictive analytics could help farmers make informed decisions about what crops to plant and when to sell their harvests. Climate risk assessments could identify which farmers face the highest vulnerability to specific climate hazards, enabling targeted support.

The delivery mechanism for AI-generated insights matters as much as the insights themselves. Not all farmers own smartphones, and even those who do may struggle with literacy or digital literacy. Extension officers can serve as interpreters and intermediaries, receiving AI-generated advisories and translating them into actionable advice during farm visits. Radio programs, SMS messages, and community meetings can disseminate information to broader audiences.

Benefits Beyond Farm-Level Decision Making

While the immediate goal is improving farmer decision making, systematic agricultural data collection generates benefits that extend far beyond individual farms. Governments gain the evidence base needed for effective policy making. Instead of relying on estimates and assumptions, agricultural ministries can access real-time information about crop production, food security situations, and emerging challenges.

This data enables more efficient resource allocation. If data reveals that certain regions consistently experience specific pest problems, targeted interventions can be deployed. If yield gaps between potential and actual production are quantified, extension services and input subsidy programs can be designed accordingly. If climate patterns show shifting rainfall zones, agricultural zoning and crop recommendations can be updated.

International development organizations and research institutions benefit from access to comprehensive agricultural data. Understanding what works and what doesn't across different contexts enables better program design and more effective use of development funds. Researchers can identify promising innovations and analyze factors that contribute to success or failure.

The private sector, particularly agricultural input companies and financial services providers, can use agricultural data to develop better products and services. Seed companies can target their breeding programs to address specific challenges revealed in the data. Insurance companies can design weather-indexed insurance products based on reliable climate data. Input suppliers can optimize their distribution networks based on actual demand patterns.

Climate change research and global food security analysis depend on comprehensive data from agricultural regions worldwide. Africa's agricultural data contributes to global understanding of how farming systems respond to climate variability and how food production can be sustained in challenging environments.

Challenges and Considerations

Implementing a comprehensive agricultural data system faces several significant challenges. Infrastructure limitations in many rural African areas mean unreliable electricity and limited internet connectivity. Data collection systems must function offline and synchronize when connectivity is available. Devices must be rugged enough to withstand harsh field conditions.

Data privacy and ownership questions require careful consideration. Farmers may worry about how their data will be used and who will have access to it. Bronson and Knezevic (2016) raise important questions about data governance: Who has a role in deciding on the context for data production, storage, and use of data tools used in food and agriculture? Who decides which

kinds of data are to be collected, given the functioning of current big digital collection and analytics tools? Clear policies and transparent communication about data use are essential for building trust. In some contexts, farmers might reasonably fear that data about their production could be used against them, perhaps in taxation or land disputes.

The digital divide poses challenges. Extension officers themselves may lack the digital literacy needed to use data collection tools effectively. Training programs must account for varying levels of prior technology exposure and provide ongoing support. The generational divide in technology adoption may mean younger extension officers adapt more quickly than their older colleagues.

Sustainability of data collection systems depends on sustainable funding and institutional commitment. Pilot projects funded by international donors often achieve impressive results but fail to scale or sustain once external funding ends. Integrating data collection into core government functions and budgets is essential for long-term success.

Data quality and validation present ongoing challenges. Even with digital collection tools, the accuracy of data depends on the knowledge and diligence of those collecting it. Systems need built-in validation checks and periodic audits to maintain data quality. Extension officers need feedback on their data quality to encourage improvement.

Coordination across agencies and organizations is necessary but often difficult to achieve. Agricultural data may be collected by statistics bureaus, agricultural ministries, meteorological agencies, research institutions, and various non-governmental organizations. Without coordination, these efforts remain fragmented and their value diminished.

A Framework for Implementation

Successfully bridging the data gap requires a systematic approach that addresses technical, institutional, and human dimensions. The following framework outlines key components of a comprehensive implementation strategy.

Phase 1: Foundation Building involves assessing current data collection practices and identifying gaps, developing standardized data collection protocols and formats, establishing data governance policies that address privacy and access, and building stakeholder consensus around the importance of agricultural data.

Phase 2: Capacity Development focuses on training extension officers in data collection methods and digital tools, providing appropriate devices and technical support, establishing quality assurance mechanisms and feedback loops, and creating incentive systems that reward quality data collection.

Phase 3: System Deployment includes rolling out data collection systems initially in pilot areas, establishing data management infrastructure and analytical capabilities, developing AI models and decision support tools based on accumulated data, and creating channels for delivering insights to farmers and policymakers.

Phase 4: Scaling and Sustainability involves expanding successful approaches to additional regions and crops, integrating data collection into routine extension services and government operations, developing partnerships with research institutions and private sector entities, and continuously improving systems based on user feedback and emerging needs.

Each phase requires adequate resources, strong leadership, and sustained commitment. Success depends on viewing this not as a one-time project but as an ongoing transformation of agricultural information systems.

Conclusion

The potential for AI to transform African agriculture is immense, but realizing this potential depends on building the data infrastructure that AI requires. Africa's agricultural extension systems, far from being obstacles to modernization, represent the key to unlocking this potential. These systems provide the human networks and local presence needed to collect comprehensive agricultural data and deliver AI-generated insights to millions of smallholder farmers.

The path forward requires investment in digital infrastructure, capacity building for extension officers, and sustained commitment from governments and development partners. It requires moving beyond pilot projects and demonstration programs toward systematic integration of data collection into agricultural extension services. It requires building trust with farming communities about how their data will be used and ensuring they benefit directly from sharing their information.

The benefits extend beyond improved farm-level decision making. Comprehensive agricultural data enables better policy making, more efficient resource allocation, enhanced food security planning, and contributions to global understanding of agricultural systems and climate change. Every season of data collected is an investment in future agricultural productivity and resilience.

The question is not whether African agriculture will eventually embrace AI and data-driven decision making. The question is how quickly we can build the foundation that makes this transformation possible and how well we can ensure that the benefits reach smallholder farmers who need them most. Agricultural extension systems, equipped with digital tools and supported by appropriate policies and resources, can bridge the gap between Africa's agricultural present and its technology-enabled future.

The time to act is now. Climate change is already impacting African agriculture, and these impacts will intensify in coming decades. Building robust data systems and AI capabilities today will help farmers adapt to tomorrow's challenges. The combination of African farmers' knowledge and resilience, extension officers' local presence and relationships, and AI's analytical power creates unprecedented opportunities for agricultural transformation. Seizing these opportunities requires commitment, investment, and collaboration, but the potential rewards, measured in improved livelihoods and enhanced food security, make the effort not just worthwhile but essential.

References

- I. Fatty LKM, Ode IO, Ahule BG, Tor A. Comparative study of agricultural extension system of Nigeria and The Gambia. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*. 2014;6(9):282-91.
- II. Christiaensen L, Demery L. Agriculture in Africa: Telling myths from facts. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 8446. 2018.
- III. Gebbers R, Adamchuk VI. Precision agriculture and food security. *Science*. 2010;327(5967):828-31.
- IV. Swanson BE, Rajalahti R. Strengthening agricultural extension and advisory systems: Procedures for assessing, transforming, and evaluating extension systems. World Bank Agriculture and Rural Development Discussion Paper 45. 2010.
- V. Babu SC, Glendenning CJ, Asenso-Okyere K, Govindarajan SK. Farmers' information needs and search behaviors: Case study in Tamil Nadu, India. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) Discussion Paper 01165. 2012.

- VI. Aker JC. Dial 'A' for agriculture: A review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries. *Agricultural Economics*. 2011;42(6):631-47.
- VII. Fermont AM, Benson T. Estimating yield of food crops grown by smallholder farmers: A review in the Uganda context. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) Discussion Paper 01097. 2011.
- VIII. Carletto C, Jolliffe D, Banerjee R. From tragedy to renaissance: Improving agricultural data for better policies. *The Journal of Development Studies*. 2015;51(2):133-48.
- IX. Thornton PK, Ericksen PJ, Herrero M, Challinor AJ. Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change: A review. *Global Change Biology*. 2014;20(11):3313-28.
- X. Ligowe IS, Nalivata PC, Njoloma J, Makumba W, Thierfelder C. Medium-term effects of conservation agriculture on soil quality. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2017;172:45-52.
- XI. Tsan M, Totapally S, Hailu M, Addom BK. The digitalization of African agriculture report 2018-2019. Wageningen: Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (CTA) and Dalberg Advisors; 2019.
- XII. Kamilaris A, Kartakoullis A, Prenafeta-Boldú FX. A review on the practice of big data analysis in agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2017;143:23-37.
- XIII. Trendov NM, Varas S, Zeng M. Digital technologies in agriculture and rural areas: Status report. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2019.
- XIV. Bronson K, Knezevic I. Big data in food and agriculture. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(1):1-5.